

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/814,155 | 04/01/2004 | | Barry W. Townsend | 183.39735AP7 | 7370 |
| 20457 | 7590 | 11/04/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP | | | | BLANCO, JAVIER G | |
| 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873 | | | | 3738 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | (2) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/814,155 | TOWNSEND ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Javier G. Blanco | 3738 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/ | <u>/1/2004</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| , | • | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicati | ion. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without | drawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | lau alaatian namuiranaant | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | • | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | | Application No. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. | | • • | | | | |
| application from the International Bur | | received in this National Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | | received. | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | · | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | · — · | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date | a. 🗀 | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/814,155

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species,

from each of the four groups of species, of the claimed invention:

Prosthetic Foot

Species A: Figure 3

Species B: Figure 25

Species C: Figures 28-32

Species D: Figure 33

Species E: Figures 34-36

Species F: Figures 41, 42

Species G: Figures 43-45

Species H: Figures 46, 47

Species I: Figures 48-51

Species J: Figures 52-54

Calf Shank

Species A: Figure 15

Species B: Figure 16

Species C: Figure 17

Species D: Figure 18

Species E: Figure 19

Species F: Figure 20

Application/Control Number: 10/814,155

Art Unit: 3738

Species G: Figure 21

Species H: Figure 22

Species I: Figure 27

Foot Keel

Species A: Figures 3-5

Species B: Figures 6, 7

Species C: Figures 9, 10

Species D: Figures 11, 12

Species E: Figure 13

Species F: Figure 14

Species G: Figures 37, 38

Species H: Figures 39, 40

Coupling Element

Species A: Figure 23

Species B: Figure 26

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, <u>from each</u> of the four groups of species, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 571-272-4747. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

JGB

October 31, 2005

David H. Willse Chary Examiner